

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
CIVIL CASE NO. 3:20-cv-00347-MR**

ROSA LEE CRAWFORD,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Consent Motion for Attorney Fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act [Doc. 20].

The Plaintiff seeks an award of attorney's fees in the amount of \$6,700.00 in full satisfaction of any and all claims by the Plaintiff in this case pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 ("EAJA"). [Doc. 20]. The Defendant consents to such an award. [Id. at 1-2]. In light of the Court's prior remand of this matter, and in the absence of any contention by the Commissioner that the Administration's position was substantially justified or that special circumstances exist that would render

an award of attorney's fees unjust, the Court concludes that the Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees under the EAJA.¹

The Plaintiff requests that the EAJA award be paid directly to Plaintiff's counsel as the Plaintiff's assignee. In support of this request, the Plaintiff has submitted a fee agreement executed by the Plaintiff, pursuant to which the Plaintiff has agreed to assign any EAJA fee award in favor of counsel. [Doc. 21-1]. The Court finds that the Commissioner should accept this assignment of the awarded fees by the Plaintiff to counsel and upon receipt of such assignment, the Commissioner will pay that award of fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel, provided that it is shown that the Plaintiff does not owe any debt to the United States Government which is subject to offset. See Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 286 (2010).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

- (1) The Plaintiff's Motion [Doc. 20] is hereby **GRANTED**, and the Plaintiff is hereby awarded attorney's fees in the amount of Six Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$6,700.00), which sum is in


¹ In the heading of the motion, the Plaintiff also appears to request an award of costs. [See Doc. 20 at 1]. However, the Plaintiff proceeded in this action *in forma pauperis* [see Doc. 3], and the Plaintiff does not make any argument within the motion itself for the award of costs. Accordingly, it appears that the inclusion of the reference to costs within the heading of the motion was merely a typographical error, and the Court will not address the issue of costs further.

full satisfaction of any and all claims by the Plaintiff in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d);

- (2) Within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Order, or some other time as determined by the Court upon good cause shown, the Commissioner shall inform Plaintiff's counsel whether the Plaintiff owes a debt to the Government by which this fee award may be offset. Before any funds are disbursed to counsel, the Plaintiff's counsel shall provide a valid fee assignment to the Defendant;
- 3) In the event that past-due benefits are awarded on remand, the Plaintiff shall have sixty (60) days after being served with notice of the past-due benefits award to file for an award of fees pursuant to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b); and
- (4) No additional Petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) may be filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: August 2, 2021



Martin Reidinger
Chief United States District Judge

